



UNIVERSITY OF  
MARYLAND







---

**Will They Stay or Will They Go:  
Early Signs of UM Undergraduate Student  
Retention/Attrition**

Campus Assessment Working Group (CAWG)  
Retention Subgroup

---

## Presenters

-  Mycah Wilson, Graduate Student,  
College Student Personnel
  
-  Jennifer Rossignol, Counselor/Advisor,  
Academic Achievement Programs
  
-  Corbin Campbell, Graduate Assistant,  
Office of Institutional Research, Planning, and Assessment
  
-  <https://www.irpa.umd.edu/CAWG/>



## Campus Assessment Working Group (CAWG)

---

- CAWG is charged with developing a campus "Culture of Evidence" in which data and assessment play a key role in campus decision making
  
- 4 subgroups:
  - Retention
  - Beginnings
  - Assessing Campus Experiences (ACES)
  - Completions



## Background

---

- In any given semester, between 1500 and 3000 undergraduate students discontinue their enrollment at the University of Maryland
  
- 2006 Non-Returning Student Survey, see report:  
*A Delicate Balance: Stop-outs and Transfer-outs Tell Their Story* (2007)



## Introduction

---

- Usually retention studies focus on 2 categories: Here or Not Here
- Non-Returning Student Survey: Experiences of non-returning students may be different for transfer-outs and stop-outs



## Introduction

---

- Can freshmen behaviors, attitudes, and expectations tell us about the chances of different enrollment outcomes five semesters later?

## Data Sources

- The 2002 University of Maryland Beginning Student Survey (BSS'02)
- The National Student Clearinghouse (NSC)

## Four Different Enrollment Categories

Fall 2002 at UM		Fall 2005	
Stayers		Still here or graduated	1606 (76%)
Stop-outs	Temporarily left UM	Back to UM	242 (11%)
Transfer-outs	Left UM	Enrolled in / graduated from another institution	159 (8%)
Not enrolled	Left UM	Not enrolled in or graduated from any NSC institution	103 (5%)
			<b>2,110</b>

## Academic Probation/ Dismissal

---

	Probation	Dismissal
Stayers (n=1606)	0	0
Stop-outs (n = 242)	0	0
Transfer-outs (n=159)	17	4
Not Enrolled (n=103)	25	3

## Methodology

---

- 🌐 Descriptive Analysis (Institutional Data)
- 🌐 Multinomial Logistic Regression

## Descriptive Analysis Results

### **Gender**

- Stop-outs had the highest percentage of women (71%)
- Stayers had the highest percentage of males (52%)

### Undeclared Major

- Stop-outs: 56%; Transfer-outs: about 45%
- Stayers 34%; Not Enrolled students: 36%

\*\*\*Items highlighted in red are statistically significant in MLR analysis

## Descriptive Analysis Results

### **Race**

- Not Enrolled: highest percentage of African American students (28%) and the lowest percentage of White students (50%)

Race	Asian American	African American	Hispanic	White
Not Enrolled	9%	28%	10%	50%
Other Three Categories	8-14%	6-12%	3-9%	63-76%



## Descriptive Analysis Results

---

- **Residency**
  - Stayers and Not Enrolled: More than 70% in-state
  - Stop-outs and Transfer-outs: About 50% in-state
  
- Living On Campus in Fall 2002
  - Not Enrolled: 79% lived on campus
  - Other 3 categories: more than 89% lived on campus



## Descriptive Analysis Results

---

- Academic Abilities
  
- Stayers and Stop-outs :
  - Higher percentages enrolled in UM's Honors or College Park Scholars
  - Higher mean SATs and GPAs
  - Lower % being dismissed or on academic probation

## Multinomial Logistic Regression (MLR)

---

Variables included in the MLR:

- 🍷 Academics factor
- 🍷 Study Skills factor
- 🍷 Institutional Connectedness factor
- 🍷 General attitude toward UM
- 🍷 College finances
- 🍷 Future direction
- 🍷 Working on campus
- 🍷 Working off campus
- 🍷 UM was first choice institution
- 🍷 Race
- 🍷 Residency
- 🍷 Interaction between residency and finances

\* See Handout for Specific Survey Items

## Multinomial Logistic Regression

---

- 🍷 Exploratory Analysis ( $p < .075$ )
- 🍷 Men and women have different enrollment patterns
  - 🍷 Women are overrepresented in the Stop-out category and underrepresented in the Stayers category ( $p < .001$ )
- 🍷 Ran separate MLR analyses for men and women





## Enrollment Patterns of Female Students

---

6 Variables that Distinguish Stayers from Other Enrollment Patterns:

- 🍷 General attitude toward UM
- 🍷 Academics factor
- 🍷 Residency
- 🍷 UM was first choice
- 🍷 Future (career & major) direction
- 🍷 Race



## Enrollment Patterns of Female Students

---

Risk Factors of Stopping out

Didn't know major or career direction  
UM was NOT 1<sup>st</sup> choice  
White as opposed to African American  
Higher score for Academics Factor



## Enrollment Patterns of Female Students

---

### Risk Factors of Transferring out

Didn't know major or career direction  
Lower general attitude about UM  
Out-of-state compared with in-state  
Higher score for Academics Factor



## Enrollment Patterns of Female Students

---

### Risk Factors for being Not Enrolled

Knew major and career direction  
White as opposed to Asian American



## Enrollment Patterns of Male Students

---

3 Variables that Distinguish Stayers from Other Enrollment Patterns:

- 🍷 General attitude toward UM
- 🍷 Race
- 🍷 Study skills factor



## Enrollment Patterns of Male Students

---

Risk Factors for  
Stopping Out

Lower general attitude about UM  
“Unknown” race as opposed to White



## Enrollment Patterns of Male Students

---

Risk Factors for  
Transferring Out

No significant effects



## Enrollment Patterns of Male Students

---

Risk Factors for  
being Not Enrolled

Lower general attitude toward UM

Lower score on Study Skills factor

African American as opposed to White



## Limitations

---

- Time frame of Fall 2005 was arbitrary
- NSC: Not all institutions participate
- Sample: BSS participants w/ UID
- BSS is self-report
- The analyses were restricted to questions on the BSS'02 and to institutional data



## General Conclusions for Women and Men

---

- **Gender** seems to play a compelling role in enrollment patterns
- A more positive **attitude** toward UM indicated a greater likelihood of continuous enrollment
- **Race** seems to play a role in enrollment patterns



## Conclusions For Female Students

---

- Why is it that scoring higher on the Academics factor indicates a greater likelihood of stopping-out or transferring-out vs. staying?
- How does lacking future direction influence the enrollment patterns?



## Conclusions For Female Students

---

- If UM was not their first choice, they were more likely to stop-out vs staying
  - Why did they choose to re-enroll at UM after their stop out period?
  - Did their opinion of UM change over time?



## Conclusions For Female Students

---

- 🌻 More likely to transfer out if non-resident of Maryland
  - 🌻 Is out-of-state tuition a concern?
  - 🌻 Is distance from home a concern?
  - 🌻 Is the culture on campus different than home?



## Conclusions For Male Students

---

- 🌻 More likely to be 'not enrolled' if lower score on their self-assessed study abilities
  - 🌻 Does this have to do with confidence or abilities?
  - 🌻 Do students struggle with UM's academic standards and therefore leave UM?



## Discussion

---

- What role does gender play in a student's decision to stay or leave UM?
- What was it about UM in just the first 8 weeks that stop-outs, transfer-outs, or the not enrolled didn't like?  
Did they leave because they didn't like UM?



## Discussion

---




- Does race influence whether a student returns or enrolls elsewhere? If so, how?
- Did male students in the not-enrolled category leave school all together because they felt they had below average skills for any college/university?





## Implications

---

-  Many of these factors are identifiable 8 weeks into the semester through a few simple questions by an advisor or an RA and looking at institutional records
-  Can policy and programmatic initiatives encourage these students to be retained at UM?
-  What other implications do you see for your specific department?



## Next Steps????

---

 Thank you!!